

08 162420
2/A
h.h
02-18-94

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICANT: MICHAEL E. TOMPKINS
ET AL

\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$

GROUP ART UNIT: _____

SERIAL NO.: CONCURRENT

FILED: CONCURRENT

EXAMINER: _____

FOR: SPA CONTROL SYSTEM

AMENDMENT "A"

Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: November 22, 1993
Docket No.: 86-1198-06

Sir:

Prior to examination of the above-referenced case, Applicant offers the following amendments, remarks and Declarations.

SPECIFICATION

Amend the specification as follows:

Page 7, line 7, delete "algarhythum" and insert --algorithm--.

IN THE CLAIMS

Claim 4, line 11, after "display to", delete "slow" and insert --show--.

REMARKS

Applicant will replace the drawings in the case with formal drawings at such time as there is allowable subject matter.

The specification was objected to under 35 U.S.C. 112 and 37 C.F.R. 1.17. Applicant has submitted argument in the appeal of the predecessor case that the specification as shown is in such clear and exact terms as to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention. The facts stated in such argument are now further verified by an inventor, and will be verified by a third party skilled in the art, with further information and documentation a copy of such Declaration of the inventor is attached to this Amendment "A". The original of the Declaration was inadvertently sent before the filing of this continuation application. These declarations will additionally verify that the specification is clear and exact to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention on May 27, 1987.

Therefore, the specifications should be allowable.